

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

I have heard your recent decision to not take action by forbidding the airing of this program. But just because you have never done it before does not mean it is not the right thing to do now. After all when has a broadcast company ever preempted its programming in order to influence the election of the President of the United States?

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

Thank you. Sincerely Nicki

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

I have heard your recent decision to not take action by forbidding the airing of this program. But just because you have never done it before does not mean it is not the right thing to do now. After all when has a broadcast company ever preempted its programming in order to influence the election of the President of the United States?

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

Thank you. Sincerely Nicki